CATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. LING DATE 4731 270142000300 09/530,795 06/25/2000 BRIAN C. KELLER **EXAMINER** 7590 10/11/2006 CHAWLA, JYOTI **BRUCE GRANT** MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP PAPER NUMBER ART UNIT 3811 VALLEY CENTRE DRIVE SUITE 500 1761 SAN DIEGO, CA 92130

DATE MAILED: 10/11/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

* 14	_	 24

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	09/530,795	KELLER ET AL.		
Notice of Abandonment	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Jyoti Chawla	1761		
The MAILING DATE of this communication app		<del></del>	ess	
		-		
This application is abandoned in view of:				
<ol> <li>Applicant's failure to timely file a proper reply to the Office         <ul> <li>(a)  A reply was received on (with a Certificate of M period for reply (including a total extension of time of</li> </ul> </li> </ol>	lailing or Transmission dated month(s)) which expired on	·		
(b) A proposed reply was received on, but it does r				
(A proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to a final rejection application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C	Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); of CFR 1.114).	or (3) a timely filed Re	quest for	
(c) ☐ A reply was received on but it does not constitute a proper reply, or a bona fide attempt at a proper reply, to the non-final rejection. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) and 1.111. (See explanation in box 7 below):				
(d) No reply has been received.				
<ol> <li>Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee and from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-8)</li> </ol>	5).			
(a) ☐ The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, was), which is after the expiration of the statutory pe Allowance (PTOL-85).	received on (with a Certificateriod for payment of the issue fee (an	ate of Mailing or Tran nd publication fee) set	smission dated in the Notice of	
(b) ☐ The submitted fee of \$ is insufficient. A balance				
The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$ T		CFR 1.18(d), is \$	_,	
(c) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has no	t been received.			
<ol> <li>Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as requ Allowability (PTO-37).</li> </ol>				
(a) ☐ Proposed corrected drawings were received on after the expiration of the period for reply.	(with a Certificate of Mailing or Tran	smission dated	), which is	
(b) $\square$ No corrected drawings have been received.				
<ol> <li>The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the the applicants.</li> </ol>	attorney or agent of record, the assi	ignee of the entire inte	erest, or all of	
<ul><li>The letter of express abandonment which is signed by an 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application.</li></ul>	attorney or agent (acting in a repres	entative capacity unde	er 37 CFR	
<ol> <li>The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interference of the decision has expired and there are no allowed claim</li> </ol>	ence rendered on and becaus ns.	e the period for seekin	ng court review	
7. The reason(s) below:	C/ } PI	CEITH HENDRICKS RIMARY EXAMINE		
Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withdrawninimize any negative effects on patent term.	w the holding of abandonment under 37 (	CFR 1.181, should be pro	omptly filed to	

Section 1	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/530,795	KELLER ET AL.
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Jyoti Chawla	1761
All Participants:	Status of Application: Aba	<u>andoned</u>
(1) <u>Jyoti Chawla</u> .	(3)	•
(2) <u>James Mullen</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 27 September 2006	Time:	
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	nt's representative)	
ii 703, provide d brief decemparati		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed:		
Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL A telephone call was placed concerning the lack of action in regarderesentative that the applicant does not wish to file a response	ords to the application and was inf	S DISCUSSED: Formed by applicant's
Part III.		
<ul> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate r directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate r did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summar</li> </ul>	e examiner will provide a writte record of the substance of the	interview, since the interview
ald fist rodule in rodulation of all locates. The second		
Loller		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant	/Applicant's Representative Si	gnature if appropriate)

P.O. Box 1450

If Undeliverable Return In Ten Days Alexandria, VA. 22313-1450

Official Business Penalty For Private Use, \$300

AN EQUAL OPPORTUNITY EMPLOYER





X 921 NO 1 AOS C 21 10/15/06 AOR C 21/100 AOR C 20/14/06 AO

RETURN TO SENDER

helphelm Handlen Handle Hallen Hallen Handlen

9213083318 010 2231361450